W E I T Z

&

L U X E N B E R G

A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

LAW OFFICES •

PERRY WEITZ ARTHUR M. LUXENBERG

BENNO ASHRAFI &
JAMES J. BILSBORROW ††
LISA NATHANSON BUSCH ††
CHARLES M. FERGUSON
ALANI GOLANSKI †
ROBIN L. GREENWALD §§
GARY R. KLEIN ††
JERRY KRISTAL **
ELLEN RELKIN *= £E'
MICHAEL P. ROBERTS
NICHOLAS WISE **
GLENN ZUCKERMAN

ANDREW L. BACKING *
RETTI BERGMARK
RETTI BERGMARK
RETTI BERGMARK
BEVIN BOVILE
ERIN M. BOVILE
BERNADIS
JOHN M. BROADDUS
JOHN M. BROADDUS
JOHN M. BROADDUS
LOTTI BURSHTYN 11
BRANDI C. CHAPLIN 11
COURTINEY CHLEBINA
NANCY M. CHRISTENSEN 11
BENJAMIN T. CLINTON
THOMAS COMERFORD 11 \$

700 BROADWAY TEL, 212-558-5500 NEW YORK, NY 10003-9536 FAX 212-344-5461

WWW.WEITZLUX.COM

DAVID M. KAUFMAN ††
PAULINA R. KENNEDY *
CHANTAL KHAUIL
ILYA KHARKOVER
JOSH KRISTAL ||
JARED LACERTOSA
DEBBI LANDAU
DANIEL C. LIPNER
JOSEPH J. MANDIA ||
COLIN MARKEL
JAMIE MATTERA
BRENDAN A. MCDONOUGH ††
SARA MERRILL *
MCHENEC C. MURTHA ||
MELINDA DAVIS NOKES **
PAUL F. NOVAK *
NICHOLAS OLIVA
JOSIAH W. PARKER *
MICHAEL E. PEDERSON
BRANDON H. PERLMAN
JAMES A. PLASTIRAS ††
ADAM C. RAFFO
PIERRE RATZKI
BRITTANY A. RUSSELL **
CHIS ROMANELLI ††

KYLE A. SCHIEDO Y
JAREDI SCOTTO
JAREDI SCOTTO
SHARMA 1
CALLIE M. SHARP §§
ALEXANDRA SHEF I
EDUARDO R SOTOMAYOR II
SAMANTHA E. STAHL
GREGORY STAMATOPOULOS*
TYLER R STOCK I
PETER TAMBINI II
BENJAMIN VANSLYKE *
JASON M. WEINER XX
JASON M. WEINER XX
JASON P. WEINSTEIN
LAUREN A. WEITZ
JUSTIN J. WEITZ
WAYNE A. WILLIAMS *

February 2, 2023

§§ Admitted only in IL Admitted only in LA * Admitted only in Mi * Admitted only in OH - Admitted only in PA 1.1 Also admitted in CA [∞] Also admitted in CO § Also admitted in DC ° Also admitted in FL ♦♦ Also admitted in MA ff Also admitted in NJ r Also admitted in PA - Also admitted in Wi ## Admitted only to CA & UT Admitted only in CA, PA & WA 4 Admitted only in NJ & PA × Admitted only in NJ PA & WW Admitted only in OR & MI
 × × Also admitted in DC & MD † Also admitted in NJ & CT Also admitted in NJ & DC III Also admitted in NJ & ME

Also admitted in NJ & MI -- Also admitted in NJ & PA × × Also Admitted in NJ & W√ A Also admitted in CT. FL & NJ vy Also admitted in DC ii MO NJ & PA 4.4 Also admitted in DC,MD,NJ,PA & VA

££ Certified Atty NJ Supreme Court

* Of Counsel

Via ECF

The Honorable Nicholas Garaufis
The Honorable Marcia Henry, Magistrate Judge
United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York
225 Cadman Plaza East, Room 1426 S
Brooklyn, NY 11201

Re: In Re: Exactech Polyethylene Orthopedic Products Liability Litigation,

MDL No.: 3044, 1:22-md-0344-NGG-MMH

Dear Judge Garaufis and Magistrate Judge Henry:

We write on behalf of the Plaintiffs in the MDL with the permission of counsel for Exactech, Inc. and Exactech U.S., Inc. (the "Exactech defendants") and counsel for TPG Inc. ("TPG") (whose permission is without waiver of service and other defenses). As Your Honors are aware, Plaintiffs filed a Master Complaint on Thursday, January 26, 2023. Pursuant to Case Management Order No. 1, Plaintiffs are to submit a Short Form Complaint by February 2, 2023.

Plaintiffs' counsel provided a draft of the Short Form Complaint to counsel for the Exactech defendants on Friday, January 27, as well as counsel for TPG, whose affiliated investment fund is the controlling shareholder of Exactech. TPG was named previously in one case in the MDL, Berger v. Exactech, Inc., et al. (E.D.N.Y. No. 1:22-cv-06449-NGG-MMH), and their counsel were present at the first status conference in November. On Wednesday, January 25, 2023, in advance of the status conference that day, plaintiff in the Berger case

220 LAKE DRIVE EAST, SUITE 210 • CHERRY HILL, NJ 08002 • TEL 856-755-1115
1880 CENTURY PARK EAST, SUITE 700 • LOS ANGELES, CA 90067 • TEL 310-247-0921
3011WEST GRAND BLVD., 24TH FLOOR • DETROIT, MI 48202 • TEL 313-800-4170



voluntarily dismissed TPG (without prejudice) and thus was no longer a party to any case in the MDL. Since submission of the Master Complaint later last week, TPG and certain affiliates have been named as defendants in several individual cases filed in this MDL pursuant to the Direct File Order.

In response to the draft Short Form Complaint, TPG's counsel advised Plaintiffs Executive Committee yesterday that since they were not parties to the Direct File Order, they were not prepared at this juncture, to agree to be bound by it, or to the form of the Short Form Complaint. However, recognizing the pragmatic reality that plaintiffs intend to pursue claims against them, Ellen Relkin discussed with TPG's counsel that it would be simpler for all parties and the Court to include all named defendants thus far as part of the Short Form Complaint, especially since TPG's counsel has indicated that they plan on filing Rule 12(b)(2), (3) and (6) motions. The Court may recall that Exactech represented that they will not be filing such motions so the motion practice will be with regards to the newly named parties. Counsel for TPG has advised that she is conferring with her client with regard to Plaintiffs' request that they dispense with objections to the Direct File Order, agree to an amended order that includes TPG (and the named affiliates) and consent to that Short Form Complaint process. Possibilities include a stipulation to amend the direct file order to expressly include TPG and potential other stipulations to address TPG concerns.

In short, additional time is needed for the TPG entities and their counsel to assess Plaintiffs' request and for the parties to meet and confer, and therefore Plaintiffs seek leave to extend the February 2 deadline for submitting a short form complaint by a week until February 9, to allow the parties additional time to hopefully work out a practical solution resulting in organized pleadings and if needed, a process for any motion practice TPG related entities may seek to pursue. The Exactech Defendants do not oppose this request.

However, in light of the Court's deadline of today, we include in this letter a copy of the proposed Short Form Complaint.

Respectfully Submitted,

Ellen Relkin

ER:das Enclosure

cc: Susan Sharko and Michael Kanute Esqs, Faegre Drinker Elizabeth Curtin and Alan Rothman, Esqs., Sidley Austin All counsel via ECF

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK (BROOKLYN)

IN RE: EXACTECH POLYETHYLENE
ORTHOPEDIC PRODUCTS LIABILITY
LITICATION

MDL No. 3044 (NGG) (MMH)

Case No.: 1:22-md-03044-NGG-MMH

District Judge Nicholas G. Garaufis Magistrate Judge Marcia M. Henry

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

[INSERT PLAINTIFF NAME HERE]

SHORT FORM COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff(s) files this Short Form Complaint and Demand for Jury Trial against the Defendants named below. Plaintiff(s) incorporates by reference the allegations, Causes of Action, and requested relief contained in the Master Personal Injury Complaint filed in In re: Exactech Polyethylene Orthopedic Products Liability Litigation, MDL No. 3044, Case No. 1:22-md-03044 ("Master Personal Injury Complaint" or "MPIC").1

Plaintiff(s) further alleges as follows:

I. **IDENTIFICATION OF PARTIES**

	A. PLAINTIFF(S)
1.	Injured Plaintiff(s): Name of the individual implanted with and injured by an Exactech Device.
	("Plaintiff")
2.	At the time of the filing of this Short Form Complaint, Plaintiff resides in the following state:

¹ Plaintiff may assert additional causes of action and/or name Defendants not otherwise set forth in the Master Personal Injury Complaint. If additional causes of action are asserted and/or new Defendants named, the specific facts supporting any such additional cause of action or the naming of such additional Defendants must be pled in a manner complying with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Additional pages may be attached to this Short Form Complaint, if necessary.

3.	Consor	rtium Plaintiff(s): Name of the individual(s) that alleges damages for loss of tium:
	("Cons	sortium Plaintiff")
4.		al and/or Wrongful Death Claims: Representative Plaintiff: Name of the individual filing this matter and their representative capacity (i.e. administrator or executor of estate):
	("Repr	resentative Plaintiff")
	b.	Name and state of residence of Decedent Plaintiff when he/or she died as a result of an Exactech Device related injury:
	c.	Decedent Plaintiff died on the following date:
	В.	DEFENDANTS
OF IN EACH ANY I INCOI	ORE PR CORP DEFE DEFEN	DEFENDANTS OCEEDING – PLEASE CAREFULLY READ AND CONSIDER THE PLACES ORATION, PRINCIPAL PLACE OF BUSINESS, AND/OR CITIZENSHIP OF NDANT BEFORE SELECTING TO ENSURE THAT YOU ARE NOT NAMING IDANTS FROM THE SAME STATE AS ANY PLAINTIFF. THE PLACE OF ATION, PRINCIPAL PLACE OF BUSINESS, OR RESIDENCE OF EACH IS IN THE FOOTNOTES FOR YOUR CONVENIENCE]
OF IN EACH ANY I INCOI DEFEI	ORE PR CORPO DEFE DEFEN RPORA NDAN	OCEEDING – PLEASE CAREFULLY READ AND CONSIDER THE PLACES ORATION, PRINCIPAL PLACE OF BUSINESS, AND/OR CITIZENSHIP OF NDANT BEFORE SELECTING TO ENSURE THAT YOU ARE NOT NAMING IDANTS FROM THE SAME STATE AS ANY PLAINTIFF. THE PLACE OF ATION, PRINCIPAL PLACE OF BUSINESS, OR RESIDENCE OF EACH
OF IN EACH ANY I INCOI DEFEI 5.	ORE PRICORPORE DEFEN RPORE NDAN Plainti	OCEEDING – PLEASE CAREFULLY READ AND CONSIDER THE PLACES ORATION, PRINCIPAL PLACE OF BUSINESS, AND/OR CITIZENSHIP OF NDANT BEFORE SELECTING TO ENSURE THAT YOU ARE NOT NAMING IDANTS FROM THE SAME STATE AS ANY PLAINTIFF. THE PLACE OF ATION, PRINCIPAL PLACE OF BUSINESS, OR RESIDENCE OF EACH IS IN THE FOOTNOTES FOR YOUR CONVENIENCE]
OF IN EACH ANY I INCOI DEFEI 5.	ORE PRICORPORE DEFEN RPORE NDAN Plainti	OCEEDING – PLEASE CAREFULLY READ AND CONSIDER THE PLACES ORATION, PRINCIPAL PLACE OF BUSINESS, AND/OR CITIZENSHIP OF NDANT BEFORE SELECTING TO ENSURE THAT YOU ARE NOT NAMING IDANTS FROM THE SAME STATE AS ANY PLAINTIFF. THE PLACE OF ATION, PRINCIPAL PLACE OF BUSINESS, OR RESIDENCE OF EACH IS IN THE FOOTNOTES FOR YOUR CONVENIENCE] ff(s) names the following Defendants in this action:
OF IN EACH ANY I INCOI DEFEI 5.	ORE PRICORPORE DEFEN RPORE NDAN Plainti	OCEEDING – PLEASE CAREFULLY READ AND CONSIDER THE PLACES ORATION, PRINCIPAL PLACE OF BUSINESS, AND/OR CITIZENSHIP OF NDANT BEFORE SELECTING TO ENSURE THAT YOU ARE NOT NAMING IDANTS FROM THE SAME STATE AS ANY PLAINTIFF. THE PLACE OF ATION, PRINCIPAL PLACE OF BUSINESS, OR RESIDENCE OF EACH IS IN THE FOOTNOTES FOR YOUR CONVENIENCE] ff(s) names the following Defendants in this action: Gendants
OF IN EACH ANY I INCOI DEFEI 5. Exacts	ORE PRICORPORE DEFEN RPORE NDAN Plainti	OCEEDING – PLEASE CAREFULLY READ AND CONSIDER THE PLACES ORATION, PRINCIPAL PLACE OF BUSINESS, AND/OR CITIZENSHIP OF NDANT BEFORE SELECTING TO ENSURE THAT YOU ARE NOT NAMING IDANTS FROM THE SAME STATE AS ANY PLAINTIFF. THE PLACE OF TION, PRINCIPAL PLACE OF BUSINESS, OR RESIDENCE OF EACH IS IN THE FOOTNOTES FOR YOUR CONVENIENCE] If (s) names the following Defendants in this action: Tendants Exactech, Inc. ² Exactech U.S., Inc. ³
OF IN EACH ANY I INCOI DEFEI 5. Exacts	DRE PROCESSION OF THE PROCESSI	OCEEDING – PLEASE CAREFULLY READ AND CONSIDER THE PLACES ORATION, PRINCIPAL PLACE OF BUSINESS, AND/OR CITIZENSHIP OF NDANT BEFORE SELECTING TO ENSURE THAT YOU ARE NOT NAMING IDANTS FROM THE SAME STATE AS ANY PLAINTIFF. THE PLACE OF TION, PRINCIPAL PLACE OF BUSINESS, OR RESIDENCE OF EACH IS IN THE FOOTNOTES FOR YOUR CONVENIENCE] If (s) names the following Defendants in this action: Tendants Exactech, Inc. ² Exactech U.S., Inc. ³

Florida corporation, with its principal place of business in Gainesville, Florida, and a citizen of Florida.
 Florida corporation, with its principal place of business in Gainesville, Florida, and a citizen of Florida.
 Delaware corporation, with its principal place of business in Fort Worth, Texas, and a citizen of Delaware and Texas.
 Limited partnership and a citizen of Delaware and Texas.

			TPG Partners Genpar VII, L.P. ⁶
			TPG Genpar VII Advisors, LLC ⁷
			Osteon Holdings, Inc. ⁸
			Osteon Merger Sub, Inc. ⁹
			Osteon Intermediate Holdings II, Inc. 10
Ot	her	Defend	lant(s) (provide name and state(s) of citizenship for each new Defendant)
II.		<u>JURIS</u>	SDICTION
6. The Court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to:		ourt has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to:	
			Diversity of Citizenship
			Other (any additional basis for jurisdiction must be pled in sufficient detail below or on appended pages as required by the applicable Federal Rules of Civil Procedure):
H		<u>VENU</u>	UE / DESIGNATED FORUM
7. Identify the Federal District Court in which the Plaintiff would have filed in the direct filing:		y the Federal District Court in which the Plaintiff would have filed in the absence of filing:	

⁶ Limited partnership and a citizen of Delaware and Texas.

⁷ Limited liability company and a citizen of Delaware and Texas.

⁸ Delaware corporation, with its principal place of business in Fort Worth, Texas, and a citizen of Delaware and

⁹ Florida corporation, with its principal place of business in Fort Worth, Texas, and a citizen of Florida and Texas. ¹⁰ Delaware corporation, with its principal place of business in Gainesville, Florida, and a citizen of Delaware and Florida.

IV. PLAINTIFF'S EXACTECH DEVICE AND INJURIES

[Complete paragraphs 8-13 for one Exactech Device. If Plaintiff received more than one Exactech Device, complete paragraphs 8-13 separately for each Device and attach additional pages to this Short Form Complaint, if necessary.]

8. Plaintiff was implanted with the following Exactech Device:

Exact	ech Hi	<u>ip Devices</u>	Exactech Kn	Exactech Knee Devices		
		Connexion GXL		Optetrak		
		Novation GXL		Optetrak Logic		
		AcuMatch GXL		Truliant		
		MCS GXL				
			Exactech An	kkle Device Vantage		
9.	Leg in □	which the Exactech Device wa Right Left	s Implanted:			
10.	Date t	he Exactech Device was implan	ted:			
11.	State i	in which the Exactech Device w	as implanted:			
12.	Date t	he Exactech Device was surgica	illy removed/revis	sed:		
13.	Plainti Device	- •	juries and compli	cations as a result of this Exactech		

V. <u>CAUSES OF ACTION</u>

Maste	Exactech, Inc., Plaintiff(s) adopts the following Causes of Action asserted in the er Personal Injury Complaint and the allegations and Prayer for Relief with regard o, as set forth therein:
	First Cause of Action: Strict Liability – Manufacturing Defect
	Second Cause of Action: Strict Liability - Design Defect
	Third Cause of Action: Strict Liability – Defect Due to Inadequate Warnings or Instructions
	Fourth Cause of Action: Negligence
	Fifth Cause of Action: Breach of Express Warranty
	Sixth Cause of Action: Breach of Implied Warranty
	Seventh Cause of Action: Negligent Misrepresentation
	Eighth Cause of Action: Fraud
	Ninth Cause of Action: Fraudulent Concealment
	Tenth Cause of Action: Punitive Damages
	Eleventh Cause of Action: Loss of Consortium
	Other: Plaintiff(s) may assert additional theories and/or Causes of Action. If
	Plaintiff(s) includes additional theories and/or Causes of Action, the specific facts and allegations supporting additional theories and/or Causes of Action must be pleaded by Plaintiff in sufficient detail as required by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Attach additional pages to this Short Form Complaint, if necessary.
the M	Exactech U.S., Inc., Plaintiff(s) adopts the following Causes of Action asserted in laster Personal Injury Complaint and the allegations and Prayer for Relief with regard to, as set forth therein:
— 1	First Cause of Action: Strict Liability – Manufacturing Defect
	Second Cause of Action: Strict Liability – Design Defect
	Third Cause of Action: Strict Liability – Defect Due to Inadequate Warnings or
	Instructions
	Fourth Cause of Action: Negligence
	Fifth Cause of Action: Breach of Express Warranty
	Sixth Cause of Action: Breach of Implied Warranty

	Seventh Cause of Action: Negligent Misrepresentation
	Eighth Cause of Action: Fraud
	Ninth Cause of Action: Fraudulent Concealment
	Tenth Cause of Action: Punitive Damages
	Eleventh Cause of Action: Loss of Consortium
	Other: Plaintiff(s) may assert additional theories and/or Causes of Action. If Plaintiff(s) includes additional theories and/or Causes of Action, the specific facts and allegations supporting additional theories and/or Causes of Action must be pleaded by Plaintiff in sufficient detail as required by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Attach additional pages to this Short Form Complaint, if necessary.
Perso	o TPG, Inc., Plaintiff(s) adopts the following Causes of Action asserted in the Master onal Injury Complaint and the allegations and Prayer for Relief with regard thereto, as orth therein:
Perso	onal Injury Complaint and the allegations and Prayer for Relief with regard thereto, as
Perso	onal Injury Complaint and the allegations and Prayer for Relief with regard thereto, as orth therein:
Personset for	onal Injury Complaint and the allegations and Prayer for Relief with regard thereto, as orth therein: First Cause of Action: Strict Liability – Manufacturing Defect Second Cause of Action: Strict Liability – Design Defect
Perso	onal Injury Complaint and the allegations and Prayer for Relief with regard thereto, as orth therein: First Cause of Action: Strict Liability – Manufacturing Defect Second Cause of Action: Strict Liability – Design Defect Third Cause of Action: Strict Liability – Defect Due to Inadequate Warnings or
Perso	onal Injury Complaint and the allegations and Prayer for Relief with regard thereto, as orth therein: First Cause of Action: Strict Liability – Manufacturing Defect Second Cause of Action: Strict Liability – Design Defect Third Cause of Action: Strict Liability – Defect Due to Inadequate Warnings or Instructions
Personal Per	onal Injury Complaint and the allegations and Prayer for Relief with regard thereto, as orth therein: First Cause of Action: Strict Liability – Manufacturing Defect Second Cause of Action: Strict Liability – Design Defect Third Cause of Action: Strict Liability – Defect Due to Inadequate Warnings or Instructions Fourth Cause of Action: Negligence
Personal Per	onal Injury Complaint and the allegations and Prayer for Relief with regard thereto, as orth therein: First Cause of Action: Strict Liability – Manufacturing Defect Second Cause of Action: Strict Liability – Design Defect Third Cause of Action: Strict Liability – Defect Due to Inadequate Warnings or Instructions Fourth Cause of Action: Negligence Fifth Cause of Action: Breach of Express Warranty
Personal Per	onal Injury Complaint and the allegations and Prayer for Relief with regard thereto, as orth therein: First Cause of Action: Strict Liability – Manufacturing Defect Second Cause of Action: Strict Liability – Design Defect Third Cause of Action: Strict Liability – Defect Due to Inadequate Warnings or Instructions Fourth Cause of Action: Negligence Fifth Cause of Action: Breach of Express Warranty Sixth Cause of Action: Breach of Implied Warranty
Person set for	onal Injury Complaint and the allegations and Prayer for Relief with regard thereto, as orth therein: First Cause of Action: Strict Liability – Manufacturing Defect Second Cause of Action: Strict Liability – Design Defect Third Cause of Action: Strict Liability – Defect Due to Inadequate Warnings or Instructions Fourth Cause of Action: Negligence Fifth Cause of Action: Breach of Express Warranty Sixth Cause of Action: Breach of Implied Warranty Seventh Cause of Action: Negligent Misrepresentation
Personal set for the set for t	onal Injury Complaint and the allegations and Prayer for Relief with regard thereto, as orth therein: First Cause of Action: Strict Liability – Manufacturing Defect Second Cause of Action: Strict Liability – Design Defect Third Cause of Action: Strict Liability – Defect Due to Inadequate Warnings or Instructions Fourth Cause of Action: Negligence Fifth Cause of Action: Breach of Express Warranty Sixth Cause of Action: Breach of Implied Warranty Seventh Cause of Action: Negligent Misrepresentation Eighth Cause of Action: Fraud Ninth Cause of Action: Fraudulent Concealment
Personal set for the set for t	onal Injury Complaint and the allegations and Prayer for Relief with regard thereto, as orth therein: First Cause of Action: Strict Liability – Manufacturing Defect Second Cause of Action: Strict Liability – Design Defect Third Cause of Action: Strict Liability – Defect Due to Inadequate Warnings or Instructions Fourth Cause of Action: Negligence Fifth Cause of Action: Breach of Express Warranty Sixth Cause of Action: Breach of Implied Warranty Seventh Cause of Action: Negligent Misrepresentation Eighth Cause of Action: Fraud

TPG Partners VII, L.P., Plaintiff(s) adopts the following Causes of Action asserted Master Personal Injury Complaint and the allegations and Prayer for Relief with d thereto, as set forth therein:
First Cause of Action: Strict Liability – Manufacturing Defect Second Cause of Action: Strict Liability – Design Defect Third Cause of Action: Strict Liability – Defect Due to Inadequate Warnings or Instructions Fourth Cause of Action: Negligence Fifth Cause of Action: Breach of Express Warranty Sixth Cause of Action: Breach of Implied Warranty Seventh Cause of Action: Negligent Misrepresentation Eighth Cause of Action: Fraud Ninth Cause of Action: Fraudulent Concealment Tenth Cause of Action: Punitive Damages Eleventh Cause of Action: Loss of Consortium Other: Plaintiff(s) may assert additional theories and/or Causes of Action. If Plaintiff(s) includes additional theories and/or Causes of Action must be pleaded by Plaintiff in sufficient detail as required by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Attach additional pages to this Short Form Complaint, if necessary.
TPG Partners Genpar VII, L.P., Plaintiff(s) adopts the following Causes of Action ted in the Master Personal Injury Complaint and the allegations and Prayer for Relief regard thereto, as set forth therein: First Cause of Action: Strict Liability – Manufacturing Defect Second Cause of Action: Strict Liability – Design Defect Third Cause of Action: Strict Liability – Defect Due to Inadequate Warnings or Instructions

	Fifth Cause of Action: Breach of Express Warranty
	Sixth Cause of Action: Breach of Implied Warranty
	Seventh Cause of Action: Negligent Misrepresentation
	Eighth Cause of Action: Fraud
	Ninth Cause of Action: Fraudulent Concealment
	Tenth Cause of Action: Punitive Damages
	Eleventh Cause of Action: Loss of Consortium
	Other: Plaintiff(s) may assert additional theories and/or Causes of Action. If
	Plaintiff(s) includes additional theories and/or Causes of Action, the specific facts
	and allegations supporting additional theories and/or Causes of Action must be
	pleaded by Plaintiff in sufficient detail as required by the Federal Rules of Civil
	Procedure. Attach additional pages to this Short Form Complaint, if necessary.
	
	o TPG Genpar VII Advisors, LLC, Plaintiff(s) adopts the following Causes of Action
	rted in the Master Personal Injury Complaint and the allegations and Prayer for Relief
with	regard thereto, as set forth therein:
	First Cause of Action: Strict Liability – Manufacturing Defect
	Second Cause of Action: Strict Liability – Design Defect
ш	Third Course of Action, Strict Lightlity - Detect Line to Inadequate Marnings or
П	Third Cause of Action: Strict Liability – Defect Due to Inadequate Warnings or
	Instructions
	Instructions Fourth Cause of Action: Negligence
	Instructions Fourth Cause of Action: Negligence Fifth Cause of Action: Breach of Express Warranty
į I	Instructions Fourth Cause of Action: Negligence Fifth Cause of Action: Breach of Express Warranty Sixth Cause of Action: Breach of Implied Warranty
	Instructions Fourth Cause of Action: Negligence Fifth Cause of Action: Breach of Express Warranty Sixth Cause of Action: Breach of Implied Warranty Seventh Cause of Action: Negligent Misrepresentation
	Instructions Fourth Cause of Action: Negligence Fifth Cause of Action: Breach of Express Warranty Sixth Cause of Action: Breach of Implied Warranty Seventh Cause of Action: Negligent Misrepresentation Eighth Cause of Action: Fraud
	Instructions Fourth Cause of Action: Negligence Fifth Cause of Action: Breach of Express Warranty Sixth Cause of Action: Breach of Implied Warranty Seventh Cause of Action: Negligent Misrepresentation Eighth Cause of Action: Fraud Ninth Cause of Action: Fraudulent Concealment
	Instructions Fourth Cause of Action: Negligence Fifth Cause of Action: Breach of Express Warranty Sixth Cause of Action: Breach of Implied Warranty Seventh Cause of Action: Negligent Misrepresentation Eighth Cause of Action: Fraud Ninth Cause of Action: Fraudulent Concealment Tenth Cause of Action: Punitive Damages
	Instructions Fourth Cause of Action: Negligence Fifth Cause of Action: Breach of Express Warranty Sixth Cause of Action: Breach of Implied Warranty Seventh Cause of Action: Negligent Misrepresentation Eighth Cause of Action: Fraud Ninth Cause of Action: Fraudulent Concealment Tenth Cause of Action: Punitive Damages Eleventh Cause of Action: Loss of Consortium
	Instructions Fourth Cause of Action: Negligence Fifth Cause of Action: Breach of Express Warranty Sixth Cause of Action: Breach of Implied Warranty Seventh Cause of Action: Negligent Misrepresentation Eighth Cause of Action: Fraud Ninth Cause of Action: Fraudulent Concealment Tenth Cause of Action: Punitive Damages Eleventh Cause of Action: Loss of Consortium Other: Plaintiff(s) may assert additional theories and/or Causes of Action. If
	Instructions Fourth Cause of Action: Negligence Fifth Cause of Action: Breach of Express Warranty Sixth Cause of Action: Breach of Implied Warranty Seventh Cause of Action: Negligent Misrepresentation Eighth Cause of Action: Fraud Ninth Cause of Action: Fraudulent Concealment Tenth Cause of Action: Punitive Damages Eleventh Cause of Action: Loss of Consortium Other: Plaintiff(s) may assert additional theories and/or Causes of Action. If Plaintiff(s) includes additional theories and/or Causes of Action, the specific facts
	Instructions Fourth Cause of Action: Negligence Fifth Cause of Action: Breach of Express Warranty Sixth Cause of Action: Breach of Implied Warranty Seventh Cause of Action: Negligent Misrepresentation Eighth Cause of Action: Fraud Ninth Cause of Action: Fraudulent Concealment Tenth Cause of Action: Punitive Damages Eleventh Cause of Action: Loss of Consortium Other: Plaintiff(s) may assert additional theories and/or Causes of Action. If

the M	Osteon Holdings, Inc., Plaintiff(s) adopts the following Causes of Action asserted in laster Personal Injury Complaint and the allegations and Prayer for Relief with regard to, as set forth therein:
	First Cause of Action: Strict Liability – Manufacturing Defect Second Cause of Action: Strict Liability – Design Defect Third Cause of Action: Strict Liability – Defect Due to Inadequate Warnings or Instructions Fourth Cause of Action: Negligence Fifth Cause of Action: Breach of Express Warranty Sixth Cause of Action: Breach of Implied Warranty Seventh Cause of Action: Negligent Misrepresentation Eighth Cause of Action: Fraud Ninth Cause of Action: Fraudulent Concealment Tenth Cause of Action: Punitive Damages Eleventh Cause of Action: Loss of Consortium Other: Plaintiff(s) may assert additional theories and/or Causes of Action. If Plaintiff(s) includes additional theories and/or Causes of Action must be pleaded by Plaintiff in sufficient detail as required by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Attach additional pages to this Short Form Complaint, if necessary.
in the	Osteon Merger Sub, Inc., Plaintiff(s) adopts the following Causes of Action asserted Master Personal Injury Complaint and the allegations and Prayer for Relief with d thereto, as set forth therein:
	First Cause of Action: Strict Liability – Manufacturing Defect Second Cause of Action: Strict Liability – Design Defect

	Instructions
	Fourth Cause of Action: Negligence
	Fifth Cause of Action: Breach of Express Warranty
	Sixth Cause of Action: Breach of Implied Warranty
	Seventh Cause of Action: Negligent Misrepresentation
	Eighth Cause of Action: Fraud
	Ninth Cause of Action: Fraudulent Concealment
	Tenth Cause of Action: Punitive Damages
П	Eleventh Cause of Action: Loss of Consortium
	Other: Plaintiff(s) may assert additional theories and/or Causes of Action. If
	Plaintiff(s) includes additional theories and/or Causes of Action, the specific facts and allegations supporting additional theories and/or Causes of Action must be pleaded by Plaintiff in sufficient detail as required by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Attach additional pages to this Short Form Complaint, if necessary.
Actio	Osteon Intermediate Holdings II, Inc., Plaintiff(s) adopts the following Causes of on asserted in the Master Personal Injury Complaint and the allegations and Prayer for
	f with regard thereto, as set forth therein:
	First Cause of Action: Strict Liability – Manufacturing Defect
	First Cause of Action: Strict Liability – Manufacturing Defect Second Cause of Action: Strict Liability – Design Defect Third Cause of Action: Strict Liability – Defect Due to Inadequate Warnings or
	First Cause of Action: Strict Liability – Manufacturing Defect Second Cause of Action: Strict Liability – Design Defect Third Cause of Action: Strict Liability – Defect Due to Inadequate Warnings or Instructions
	First Cause of Action: Strict Liability – Manufacturing Defect Second Cause of Action: Strict Liability – Design Defect Third Cause of Action: Strict Liability – Defect Due to Inadequate Warnings or Instructions Fourth Cause of Action: Negligence
	First Cause of Action: Strict Liability – Manufacturing Defect Second Cause of Action: Strict Liability – Design Defect Third Cause of Action: Strict Liability – Defect Due to Inadequate Warnings or Instructions Fourth Cause of Action: Negligence Fifth Cause of Action: Breach of Express Warranty
	First Cause of Action: Strict Liability – Manufacturing Defect Second Cause of Action: Strict Liability – Design Defect Third Cause of Action: Strict Liability – Defect Due to Inadequate Warnings or Instructions Fourth Cause of Action: Negligence Fifth Cause of Action: Breach of Express Warranty Sixth Cause of Action: Breach of Implied Warranty
	First Cause of Action: Strict Liability – Manufacturing Defect Second Cause of Action: Strict Liability – Design Defect Third Cause of Action: Strict Liability – Defect Due to Inadequate Warnings or Instructions Fourth Cause of Action: Negligence Fifth Cause of Action: Breach of Express Warranty Sixth Cause of Action: Breach of Implied Warranty Seventh Cause of Action: Negligent Misrepresentation
	First Cause of Action: Strict Liability – Manufacturing Defect Second Cause of Action: Strict Liability – Design Defect Third Cause of Action: Strict Liability – Defect Due to Inadequate Warnings or Instructions Fourth Cause of Action: Negligence Fifth Cause of Action: Breach of Express Warranty Sixth Cause of Action: Breach of Implied Warranty Seventh Cause of Action: Negligent Misrepresentation Eighth Cause of Action: Fraud
	First Cause of Action: Strict Liability – Manufacturing Defect Second Cause of Action: Strict Liability – Design Defect Third Cause of Action: Strict Liability – Defect Due to Inadequate Warnings or Instructions Fourth Cause of Action: Negligence Fifth Cause of Action: Breach of Express Warranty Sixth Cause of Action: Breach of Implied Warranty Seventh Cause of Action: Negligent Misrepresentation Eighth Cause of Action: Fraud Ninth Cause of Action: Fraudulent Concealment

	and allegations supporting additional theories and/or Causes of Action must be pleaded by Plaintiff in sufficient detail as required by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Attach additional pages to this Short Form Complaint, if necessary.
23.	As to any Defendant named in this Short Form Complaint that is not named in the Master Personal Injury Complaint, Plaintiff(s) asserts the following allegations, causes of action, and prayer for relief. Attach additional pages to this Short Form Complaint, if necessary.
	WHEREFORE, Plaintiff(s) prays for relief and judgment against named Defendants and
all suc	h further relief that this Court deems equitable and just as set forth in the Master Personal
Injury	Complaint and any additional relief to which Plaintiff(s) may be entitled.
	JURY DEMAND
Date:	Plaintiff(s) hereby demands a trial by jury as to all claims in this action.
_	[Signature Block]